

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,788	03/28/2001		Ayman G. Abdo	2207/10611	1020
23838	7590	01/18/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON 1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MANOS		, JOSEPH D
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•		2113	<u>-</u> .
				DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
42 194	09/818,788	ABDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Joseph Manoskey	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	ovember 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<u></u>	<u>-</u>					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10,18-21 and 23-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10,18-21,23-32 and 36-39</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 33 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	red in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	eu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/818,788

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities: the last line of the claim recites "harassing instruction", this is believed to be a typographical error and instead should recite "harassing transaction". The examiner notes that this error had been corrected in the previous Office Action by authorization of the applicant with an Examiner's Amendment, but is now again present in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claimed subject matter "A computer readable medium storing program instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to" is not enable

Art Unit: 2113

by the specification. The specification teaches an "on-die validation functional unit block" or a hardware device performing the invention and not "program instructions" or software (See paragraph 1 of specification). The specification also teaches away from the use of software for the claimed invention. The specification teaches that software is expensive and do not provide much control (See paragraph 3 and 4 of specification). Also the software cannot sense events that validation engineers would like to test (See paragraph 4 of specification). The specification teaches the hardware "FUB" has advantages over software-controlled schemes such as greater reliability and avoiding the hit or miss nature of software-controlled algorithms (See paragraph 18 of specification). Finally, the specification discloses that no special software is necessary for the invention (See paragraph 21 of specification). For these and other reasons the subject matter of claims 33-35 are not enabled by the specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5-10,18-21,23-32, 36, and 37 are allowed as was stated in the previous Office Action mailed 27 September 2004.
- 5. Newly added dependent Claims 38 and 39 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. The applicant's remarks cite that the claims have been amended to clarify the claimed invention. The Examiner agrees with this for claims 5-10, 18-21, 23-32, 36, and

Application/Control Number: 09/818,788 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

37. However for claims 33-35, the examiner disagrees that the amendments to the claims only clarify the invention. The amendments change the scope of the claims and the new limitations are not enabled by the specification, see above rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Manoskey whose telephone number is (571) 272-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7:30am to 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDM January 13, 2005 ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100